COURT FEE INDIA TEN RUPEES

FILED

BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

1458

W.P. No. Of 2003.

PETITIONER DEFENDANT S NO. 1,4,5 AND 6 :- 1. Vinod Kumar Agrawal, aged about 56 years, son of Late Dau Parmanand Agrawal, resident of Baniya Para, Durg (M.P.) (now Chhattisgarh),
2. Pramod Kumar Agrawal, aged about 51 years, son of Late Dau Parmanand Agrawal,
3. Tarun Kumar Agrawal, aged about 49 years, son of Late Dau Parmanand Agrawal,
4. Bipin Kumar Agrawal, aged about 47 years, son of Late Dau Parmanand Agrawal,

Petitioner no. 2 to 4 resident of Baniyapara, Durg, Tahsil and District Durg (M.P) (now Chhattisgarh)

V E R S U S

RESPONDENTS :-

1. Sumer Rai (deceased through legal representatives),
   A. Krishna Kumar Singh, aged about 37 years,
   B. Santosh Kumar Singh, aged about 35 years, Resident of Mukt Nagar, Durg, Tah. and Distt. Durg, Both Sons of Late Sumer Rai
   C. Smt. Rita Pawar, aged about 39 years, wife of Shri G. S Pawar, resident of Adarsh Nagar, Durg, Tah. and Distt. Durg,
   D. Smt. Sunita Singh, aged about 33 years, wife of Shri Deepak Singh, resident of Sector 10, Bhilai Nagar, Tah. and Distt. Durg,
   E. Smt. Seema Singh, aged about 30 years, wife of Kunjlal Singh, resident of Mukt Nagar, Durg, Tah. and Distt. Durg,
   F. Sushri Shashi Singh, aged about 27 years, daughter of Late Sumer Rai, resident of Mukt Nagar, Durg, Tah. and Distt. Durg,
2. Smt. Lalmati Devi, aged about 42 years, wife of Shri Sumer Rai, resident of Mukt Nagar, Padmanabhpur, Durg (M.P.) (now Chhattisgarh),

PLAINTIFFS

3. Shri Ramesh Kumar, Son of Inderchand Jain, resident of Bhoipara, Durg, Tah. and Distt. Durg (M.P) (now Chhattisgarh),

DEFENDANT NO. 2

4. Jarnail Singh, son of Deshraj Arora, resident of Station Road, Durg, Tah. and Distt. Durg,

DEFENDANT NO. 3

5. Eighth Civil Judge Class II, Durg (Chhattisgarh),

P. R. No. 6703
Presented by Shri Pankaj Agrawal
dated 22.03.03

NOTARY S.P. Agrawal NOTARY (ADVOCATE) Durg (M.P.) INDIA Regd. No. M.P 13/10/12 Govt. of Chhattisgarh

PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 227 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

DETAILS OF PETITION :-

1. **PARTICULARS OF PETITIONER** :- As above in title.

Contd..2..

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

W.P.1458/2003

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	11.09.2003 Shri H.B. Agrawal, Counsel for the Petitioners. Heard. Perused the order dated 18.11.2002 whereby the application dated 10.4.2002 filed by the plaintiffs has been disposed of. The Court below has noted in its order that the witness T.P. Tiwari had brought the original map as was mentioned in the application. This petition has been filed against the order dated 18.11.2002. There is no petition filed against the order dated 10.04.2002. The Court below has referred to earlier order dated 10.4.2002. Having considered the facts and circumstancesof the case and material on record, in the opinion of this Court, no case has been made out for exercising its jurisdiction under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India. The petitioner may, however, raise the ground in appeal in accordance with law. With this observation, this pettion as well as M(W)P No.1323/2003 stand disposed of. Sd/- Fakhruddin Judge /Rao/	

[पीछे देखिये